

DEVELOPMENTAL SCALES FOR QUALITY DEVELOPMENT

INFANT AND PRIMARY EDUCATION

1. Information about the developmental scales

The Education Inspectorate evaluates quality within development scales, which consist of four development levels.

BELOW EXPECTATIONS

Several key elements can be improved.

APPROACHES EXPECTATIONS

In addition to strengths, there are also a number of points for improvement. As a result, it still does not fully meet expectations.

MEETS EXPECTATIONS

There are many strengths and no major points or areas for improvement. The whole is in line with expectations.

EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS

There are many strengths, including significant examples of good practice.

The development level "meets expectations" means that the school meets the quality expectations of the reference framework for quality in education (OK). It is the target for every school. The development level "exceeds expectations" means there is also an example of good practice. An example of good practice exceeds the usual expectations and has a positive impact on the results and effects of pupils. An example of good practice is often strongly embedded in the functioning of the school or a sub-team, is also validated and may inspire other schools or sub-teams.

School year 2019-2020 1/4



2. The developmental scales

K1. Vision and strategic policy

| BELOW | NEAR | MEETS | EXCEEDS |
|---|--|--|--|
| EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS |
| The school has only a limited insight into what it | The school knows what it wants to achieve with | The school knows what it wants to achieve with | The school knows what it wants to achieve with |
| wants to achieve with its education, how it wants | its education. The school misses out on opportu- | its education, how it wants to shape the school | its education, how it wants to shape the school |
| ' | nities to adapt this vision to the input and con- | functioning and how it wants to stimulate the de- | functioning and how it wants to stimulate the de- |
| wants to stimulate the development of the pu- | text of the school and to the regulations. It has | velopment of the pupils. This vision is attuned to | velopment of the pupils. This vision is attuned to |
| pils. This vision takes little account of the input | limited insight into how it wants to achieve its vi- | the input and context of the school and to the | the input and context of the school and to the |
| and context of the school and is contrary to the | | regulations. It is widely and visibly used in school | regulations. It is widely and visibly used in school |
| regulations. The teaching practice shows little | rated in the school functioning and teaching | life and teaching practice. The school stimulates | life and teaching practice. The teachers feel col- |
| common purposefulness. | practice. | the joint responsibility to realize the vision. | lectively responsible for realizing the vision. The |
| | | | school evaluates its vision in an open dialogue |
| | | | and adjusts it where necessary. |
| | | | |

K2. Organization policy

| BELOW | NEAR | MEETS | EXCEEDS |
|---|---|---|---|
| EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS |
| The school does not develop a coherent policy. It | The school develops and implements a policy. | The school develops and implements a policy in | The school develops and implements a policy. |
| is hardly striving for a culture of participation and | The school is open to participation and external | which participation and dialogue are important. | The school has a participatory and innovative cul- |
| dialogue. It is not very open to external questions | questions and expectations but does not to any | It is open to external questions and expectations | ture. The school is open to external questions |
| and expectations. It hardly stimulates innovation | great extent translate this input into its choices. | and regularly responds to them. It stimulates in- | and expectations and responds to them regu- |
| and the sharing of expertise between team mem- | It does not yet take every opportunity to stimu- | novation, reflection and the sharing of expertise | larly. It stimulates innovation, reflection, learning |
| bers. It hardly works together with others to | late innovation and the sharing of expertise be- | between team members. It works together with | from and with each other and the sharing of ex- |
| strengthen teaching practice or school function- | tween the team members. It works together with | others to strengthen teaching practice and | pertise between team members. It works to- |
| ing. It communicates little or nothing about its | others but does not always strengthen the teach- | school functioning. It communicates frequently, | gether with others to strengthen teaching prac- |
| functioning with internal and external stakehold- | ing practice or the school functioning. The trans- | transparently and purposefully about its opera- | tice and school functioning. It communicates fre- |
| ers. | parency and the frequency of communication | tions with internal and external stakeholders. | quently, transparently and purposefully about its |
| | with internal and external stakeholders offer | | operations with internal and external stakehold- |
| | scope for improvement. | | ers. |
| | | | |

School year 2019-2020 2/4



K3. Educational policy

| BELOW | NEAR | MEETS | EXCEEDS |
|--|---|---|--|
| EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS |
| The school does not develop the quality of its | The school develops the quality of its teaching | The school develops the quality of its teaching | The school systematically and coherently devel- |
| teaching practice or only on an ad hoc basis. It | practice but does this on a fragmentary or non- | practice. It gives shape to teaching practice and | ops the quality of its teaching practice. It gives |
| takes few targeted measures. It makes hardly any | whole-school basis. The measures are not always | improving professionalism by means of targeted | shape to teaching practice, improving profession- |
| agreements with the team members. It does not | targeted and the agreements are not always | measures and agreements. It supports the team | alism and quality management by means of tar- |
| provide sufficient support for the team mem- | clear to all team members. The school provides | members. | geted measures and agreements at school level, |
| bers. | only limited support to the team members. | | sub-team level and individual level. It supports |
| | | | the team members. |
| | | | |

K4. Systematic evaluation of the quality

| BELOW | NEAR | MEETS | EXCEEDS |
|---|---|--|--|
| EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS |
| The school does not evaluate its performance or | The school evaluates various aspects of school | The school systematically evaluates various as- | The school evaluates systematically and cyclically |
| only evaluates it occasionally. | functioning but still pays limited attention to the | pects of school functioning. It devotes specific at- | various aspects of school functioning. It devotes |
| | evaluation of teaching practice. The evaluation is | tention to the evaluation of teaching practice. | ample attention to the evaluation of teaching |
| | not very systematic. | | practice. |
| | | | |

School year 2019-2020 3/4



K5. Reliable evaluation of the quality

| BELOW | NEAR | MEETS | EXCEEDS |
|--|---|--|---|
| EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS |
| The school evaluates its quality rarely based on | The school evaluates its quality in a limited and | The school evaluates its quality in a targeted way | The school evaluates its quality in a targeted way |
| the available qualitative and quantitative sources | targeted way based on the available qualitative | based on the available qualitative and quantita- | based on a variety of qualitative and quantitative |
| or the expertise of relevant partners. In its evalu- | and quantitative sources. It misses out on oppor- | tive sources. It involves relevant partners in its | sources. It involves relevant internal and external |
| ations, it pays little attention to the results and | tunities to involve the expertise of relevant part- | evaluations. It pays specific attention to the re- | partners in its evaluations. It pays ample atten- |
| effects on the pupils. Evaluations are rarely relia- | ners in its evaluations. It does not yet succeed in | sults and effects on the pupils. Evaluations are | tion to the results and effects on the pupils. The |
| ble. | using the results and effects for its pupils. This | generally reliable. | evaluations are reliable. |
| | puts the reliability of the evaluations at risk. | | |
| | | | |

K6. Secure and adjust

| BELOW | NEAR | MEETS | EXCEEDS |
|--|--|--|--|
| EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS | EXPECTATIONS |
| The school has little or no insight into its | The school has an insight into its strengths and | The school has an insight into its strengths and | The school has an insight into its strengths and |
| strengths and points of work. It does not suffi- | points of work but that insight is incomplete. It | points of work. It stores and distributes what is of | points of work. It systematically stores and dis- |
| ciently guarantee what is already good and | does not always store and distribute what is | high quality. It develops targeted improvement | tributes what is of high quality. It develops tar- |
| hardly develops any improvement actions for its | good. It misses out on opportunities to adjust its | actions for its points of work. | geted improvement actions for its points of work. |
| points of work. | operation based on the evaluations. | | In doing so, it takes account of changing con- |
| | | | texts. |
| | | | |

School year 2019-2020 4/4